Case 2:21-cr-00032-TLN Document 9 Filed 03/16/21 Page 1 of 5

1	Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
7	Cinica States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-cr-32 TLN
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING USE OF VIDEOCONFERENCING DURING CHANGE OF
13	V.	PLEA HEARING; FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	MARIELA PANGANIBAN,	DATE: March 18, 2021
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
16		
17	<u>BACKGROUND</u>	
	BAC	KGROUND
18		KGROUND filed an information charging defendant Mariela
	On February 10, 2021, the United States	
18	On February 10, 2021, the United States	filed an information charging defendant Mariela and receive health care kickbacks, in violation of 18
18 19	On February 10, 2021, the United States Panganiban with one count of conspiracy to pay U.S.C. § 371. A change of plea hearing in this m	filed an information charging defendant Mariela and receive health care kickbacks, in violation of 18
18 19 20	On February 10, 2021, the United States Panganiban with one count of conspiracy to pay U.S.C. § 371. A change of plea hearing in this m On March 27, 2020, Congress passed the	filed an information charging defendant Mariela and receive health care kickbacks, in violation of 18 atter is scheduled for March 18, 2021.
18 19 20 21	On February 10, 2021, the United States Panganiban with one count of conspiracy to pay U.S.C. § 371. A change of plea hearing in this m On March 27, 2020, Congress passed the ("CARES Act"). The CARES Act empowered th	filed an information charging defendant Mariela and receive health care kickbacks, in violation of 18 atter is scheduled for March 18, 2021. Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act
18 19 20 21 22	On February 10, 2021, the United States Panganiban with one count of conspiracy to pay U.S.C. § 371. A change of plea hearing in this m On March 27, 2020, Congress passed the ("CARES Act"). The CARES Act empowered the District Judges to authorize plea and sentencing in	filed an information charging defendant Mariela and receive health care kickbacks, in violation of 18 atter is scheduled for March 18, 2021. Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act the Judicial Conference of the United States and Chief
18 19 20 21 22 23	On February 10, 2021, the United States Panganiban with one count of conspiracy to pay U.S.C. § 371. A change of plea hearing in this m On March 27, 2020, Congress passed the ("CARES Act"). The CARES Act empowered the District Judges to authorize plea and sentencing in	filed an information charging defendant Mariela and receive health care kickbacks, in violation of 18 atter is scheduled for March 18, 2021. Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act the Judicial Conference of the United States and Chief thearings by video or telephonic conference when without seriously jeopardizing public health and
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	On February 10, 2021, the United States Panganiban with one count of conspiracy to pay U.S.C. § 371. A change of plea hearing in this m On March 27, 2020, Congress passed the ("CARES Act"). The CARES Act empowered the District Judges to authorize plea and sentencing 1 1) such hearings "cannot be conducted in person safety;" and 2) "the district judge in a particular of	filed an information charging defendant Mariela and receive health care kickbacks, in violation of 18 atter is scheduled for March 18, 2021. Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act the Judicial Conference of the United States and Chief thearings by video or telephonic conference when without seriously jeopardizing public health and
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	On February 10, 2021, the United States Panganiban with one count of conspiracy to pay U.S.C. § 371. A change of plea hearing in this m On March 27, 2020, Congress passed the ("CARES Act"). The CARES Act empowered the District Judges to authorize plea and sentencing 1) such hearings "cannot be conducted in person safety;" and 2) "the district judge in a particular estencing in that case cannot be further delayed	filed an information charging defendant Mariela and receive health care kickbacks, in violation of 18 atter is scheduled for March 18, 2021. Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act the Judicial Conference of the United States and Chief thearings by video or telephonic conference when without seriously jeopardizing public health and case finds for specific reasons that the plea or

Case 2:21-cr-00032-TLN Document 9 Filed 03/16/21 Page 2 of 5

On March 29, 2020, the Judicial Conference of the United States made the findings required by the CARES Act, concluding that "emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. § 1601, et seq.) with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) have materially affected and will materially affect the functioning of the federal courts generally."

On January 4, 2021, for the reasons set forth in General Orders Nos. 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 620, 621, and 624, the Chief Judge of this District, per General Order 628, also made the findings required by the CARES Act: "[F]elony pleas under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and felony sentencings under Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure generally still cannot be conducted in person in this district without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety." Accordingly, the findings of the Judicial Conference and General Order 628 establish that plea and sentencing hearings cannot safely take place in person. General Order 628 also authorizes arraignments by videoconference.

In order to authorize change of plea hearings by remote means, however, the CARES Act—as implemented by General Order 628—also requires district courts in individual cases to "find, for specific reasons, that felony pleas or sentencings in those cases cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice." General Order 628 further requires that the defendant consent to remote proceedings. Finally, the remote proceeding must be conducted by videoconference unless "videoconferencing is not reasonably available." In such cases, district courts may conduct hearings by teleconference.

The parties hereby stipulate and agree that each of the requirements of the CARES Act and General Order 628 have been satisfied in this case. They request that the Court enter an order making the specific findings required by the CARES Act and General Order 628. Specifically, for the reasons further set forth below, the parties agree that:

1) The change of plea hearing in this case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interest of justice, given the public health restrictions on physical contact and court closures existing in the Eastern District of California, the fact that the parties have reached a plea agreement to resolve this case, and the backlog of cases that is likely to increase in this District if criminal matters do not

proceed by videoconference when the defendant consents and resolution has been reached between the parties; and

2) The defendant waives her physical presence at the change of plea hearing and consents to remote hearing by videoconference. Counsel joins in that waiver.

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. The Governor of the State of California declared a Proclamation of a State of Emergency to exist in California on March 4, 2020.
- 2. On March 13, 2020, the President of the United States issued a proclamation declaring a National Emergency in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 3. In their continuing guidance, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and other public health authorities have suggested the public avoid social gatherings in groups of more than 10 people and practice physical distancing (within about six feet) between individuals to potentially slow the spread of COVID-19. The virus is thought to spread mainly from person-to-person contact, and vaccines have not been widely distributed to the general population.
- 4. On March 17, 2020, this Court issued General Order 611, noting the President's and Governor of California's emergency declarations and CDC guidance, and indicating that public health authorities within the Eastern District had taken measures to limit the size of gatherings and practice social distancing. The Order suspended all jury trials in the Eastern District of California scheduled to commence before May 1, 2020.
- 5. On April 16, 2020, the Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit declared a judicial emergency in this District pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3174(d), based on the District's "critically low resources across its heavy caseload." The report accompanying the Judicial Council's declaration analyzed the public safety dangers associated with the COVID-19 pandemic and examined both the District's caseload (the District currently ranks first in the Ninth Circuit and eighth nationally in weighted filings) and its shortage of judicial resources (the District is currently authorized only six district judges; two of those positions are currently vacant and without nominations). The report further

Case 2:21-cr-00032-TLN Document 9 Filed 03/16/21 Page 4 of 5

explained that a backlog of cases exists that "can only start to be alleviated" when the CDC lifts its guidance regarding gatherings of individuals.

- 6. On April 17, 2020, General Order 617 issued, continuing court closures through June 1, 2020, and authorizing further continuances of hearings and exclusions under the Speedy Trial Act.
- 7. On May 13, 2020, General Order 618 issued, continuing court closures until further notice and authorizing further continuances of hearings and exclusions under the Speedy Trial Act through May 2, 2021.
- 8. General Order Nos. 613, 614, 615, 616, 620, 621, and 624 have also issued and made findings and implementing temporary emergency procedures in response to the COVID–19 crisis, and these General Orders either remain in effect or have been superseded by a subsequent General Order extending their provisions, with General Order 624 having expired on December 29, 2020. On January 4, 2021, General Order 628 issued, authorizing further continuances of hearings and exclusions under the Speedy Trial Act for another 90 days unless terminated earlier.
- 9. Given these facts, it is essential that Judges in this District resolve as many matters as possible via videoconference and teleconference during the COVID-19 pandemic. By holding these hearings now, this District will be in a better position to work through the backlog of criminal and civil matters once in-person hearings resume.
- 10. The change of plea hearing in this case accordingly cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice. If the Court were to delay this hearing until it can be held inperson, it only would add to the enormous backlog of criminal and civil matters facing this Court and every Judge in this District, when normal operations resume.

28 |

Case 2:21-cr-00032-TLN Document 9 Filed 03/16/21 Page 5 of 5

11. Under CARES Act § 15002(b), defendant consents to proceed with the change of plea 1 2 hearing by videoconference. Counsel joins in this consent. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 3 4 PHILLIP A. TALBERT Dated: March 15, 2021 5 Acting United States Attorney 6 /s/ Matthew Thuesen 7 MATTHEW THUESEN Assistant United States Attorney 8 9 Dated: March 15, 2021 /s/ Benjamin D. Galloway BENJAMIN D. GALLOWAY 10 Chief Assistant Federal Defender Counsel for Defendant 11 Mariela Panganiban 12 13 FINDINGS AND ORDER 14 1. The Court adopts the findings above. 15 2. Further, the Court specifically finds that: 16 The change of plea hearing in this case cannot be further delayed without serious a) 17 harm to the interest of justice; and 18 b) The defendant has waived her physical presence at the change of plea hearing and 19 consents to remote hearing by videoconference. 20 3. Therefore, based on the findings above, and under the Court's authority under § 15002(b) 21 of the CARES Act and General Order 628, the change of plea hearing in this case will be conducted by 22 videoconference. 23 24 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 15th day of March, 2021. 25 26 27 Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge

28